

Applic. No. 10/694,613
Amdt. dated May 9, 2006
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2006

Drawing Amendments

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 1.
This sheet which includes Fig. 1, replaces the original sheet
including Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, reference symbol 4.3 was changed
to 24.3.

Please approve the drawing changes that are marked in red on
the accompanying "Annotated Sheet Showing Changes" of Fig 1.
A formal "Replacement Sheet" of amended Fig. 1 is also
enclosed.

Attachments: Replacement Sheet
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

Applic. No. 10/694,613
Amdt. dated May 9, 2006
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2006

Remarks/Arguments:

Reconsideration of the application is requested.

Claims 1, 2, 6-9, 11, and 12 remain in the application.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 11, and 12 have been amended. Claims 3-5 and 10 are being cancelled herewith.

In the second paragraph on page 2 of the above-identified Office action, claims 1, 2, and 12 have been rejected as being fully anticipated by Spiegel et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,011,125) (hereinafter "Spiegel") under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

In the second paragraph on page 3 of the Office action, claims 1-4 have been rejected as being obvious over Norton (U.S. Patent No. 3,861,672) in view of Spiegel (U.S. Patent No. 5,011,125) under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

It is appreciatively noted from page 3 of the Office action that claims 5-11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applic. No. 10/694,613
Amdt. dated May 9, 2006
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2006

Claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of allowable claim 5 and intervening claim 3. Therefore, claim 1 is allowable. Since claim 1 is allowable, dependent claim 2 is allowable as well.

Claim 12 has been amended to include the subject matter of allowable claim 10. Therefore, claim 12 is allowable as well.

Since only allowable claims remain, the early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is solicited herewith.


In the event the Examiner should still find any of the claims to be unpatentable, counsel respectfully requests a telephone call so that, if possible, patentable language can be worked out.

If an extension of time for this paper is required, petition for extension is herewith made.

Applic. No. 10/694,613
Amdt. dated May 9, 2006
Reply to Office action of April 19, 2006

Please charge any other fees which might be due with respect
to Sections 1.16 and 1.17 to the Deposit Account of Lerner
Greenberg Sterner LLP, No. 12-1099.

Respectfully submitted,



For Applicant(s)

Alfred K. Dassler
52,794

AKD:cgm

May 9, 2006

Lerner Greenberg Sterner LLP
Post Office Box 2480
Hollywood, FL 33022-2480
Tel: (954) 925-1100
Fax: (954) 925-1101

